

1 OUTLINE

1.1 Supercomputer System

In School year 2025(SY 2025), the ISSP supercomputer center provided users with System B - Dell PowerEdge C6525/R940 system named “ohtaka” and System C - HPE Apollo 2000 Gen10 Plus/HPE Apollo 6500 Gen10 Plus system named “kugui”. System B is a massively-parallel supercomputer with two types of compute nodes: 8 “Fat” nodes and 1680 “CPU” nodes. “Fat” nodes are each comprised of four Intel Xeon Platinum 8280 CPUs (28 cores/CPU) and 3 TB of memory per node. “CPU” nodes have two AMD EPYC 7702 CPUs (64 cores/CPU) and 256 GB of memory per node. System B achieves about 6.881 PFLOPS in theoretical peak performance with high power efficiency. The subsystem comprised of only CPU nodes ranks 87th in the Nov. 2020 Top 500 List, which is a ranking based on total performance measured by the HPL benchmark. The compute nodes communicate to each other through HDR100 Infiniband and are connected in fat tree topology. SY 2025 was the sixth year of the operation of the current System B. System C is a massively-parallel supercomputer with two types of compute nodes: 128 “CPU” nodes and 8 “ACC” nodes. “CPU” nodes are each comprised of two AMD EPYC 7763 CPUs (64 cores/CPU) and 256 GB of memory. The compute nodes communicate to each other through HDR200 Infiniband and are connected in fat tree topology. “ACC” nodes are each comprised of one AMD EPYC 7763 CPUs (64 cores/CPU), four NVIDIA A100 40GB for HGX GPUs and 256 GB of memory. System C achieves 973 TFLOPS in theoretical peak performance. SY 2025 was the fourth year of the operation of the current System C. As shown in Fig. 1, users can access both systems via the Internet using SSH public key authentication. For further details, please contact ISSP Supercomputer Center (SCC-ISSP).

[Correspondence: center@issp.u-tokyo.ac.jp]

1.2 Project Proposals

The ISSP supercomputer system provides computation resources for scientists working on condensed matter sciences in Japan. All scientific staff members (including post-docs) at universities or public research institutes in Japan can submit proposals for projects related to research activities on materials and condensed matter sciences. These proposals are peer-reviewed by the Advisory Committee members (see Sec. 1.3), and then the computation resources are allocated based on the review reports. The leader of an approved project can set up user accounts for collaborators. Other types of scientists, including graduate students, may also be added. Proposal submissions, peer-review processes, and user registration are all managed via a web system.

The computation resources are distributed in a unit called “point”, determined as a function of available CPU utilization time and consumed disk resources. There




Figure 1: Supercomputer System at the SCC-ISSP

were calls for six classes of research projects in SY 2025. The number of projects and the total number of points that were applied for and approved in this school year are listed in Table 1.

- Class A is for trial use by new users; proposals for Class A projects are accepted throughout the year.
- Proposals for projects in Classes B (small), C (mid-size), E (large-scale), and S (exceptional) can be submitted twice a year. Approved projects in Classes A, B, C, and E continue to the end of the school year.
- In Class D, projects can be proposed on rapidly-developing studies that need to perform urgent and relatively large calculations. An approved project continues for 6 months from its approval.
- Class S is for projects that are considered extremely important for the field of condensed matter physics and requires extremely large-scale computation. The project may be carried out either by one research group or cooperatively by several investigators at different institutions. A project of this class should be applied with at least 10,000 points; there is no maximum. We require group leaders applying for Class S to give a presentation on the proposal to the Steering Committee of the SCC-ISSP. Class S projects are carried out within one year from its approval.
- Project leaders can apply for points so that the points for each system do not exceed the maximum point shown in this table.

Table 1: Classes of research projects in SY 2025. Total points listed in this table are rounded. In Class D, we collect information about the projects ended in each semester.

Class	Maximum		Application	# of Proj.	Total points			
	Points				Applied		Approved	
	Sys-B	Sys-C			Sys-B	Sys-C	Sys-B	Sys-C
A	100	50	any time	30	3.0k	1.5k	3.0k	1.5k
B	650	100	twice a year	112	66.7k	7.8k	42.0k	6.0k
C	6.5k	500	twice a year	201	1042.4k	60.5k	553.5k	40.7k
D	10k	500	any time	8	57.3k	1.5k	35.7k	2.1k
E	20k	1.5k	twice a year	23	416.3k	34.0k	203.5k	19.6k
S	–	–	twice a year	0	0	0	0	0
SCCMS				8	19.0k	1.6k	19.0k	1.6k
Total				382	1604.7k	106.9k	856.7k	71.4k

In addition, from SY 2016, ISSP Supercomputer has been providing 20% of its computational resources for Supercomputing Consortium for Computational Materials Science (SCCMS), which aims at advancing parallel computations in condensed matter, molecular, and materials sciences on the 10-PFlops K Computer and the exascale post-K project. From SY 2020, up to 10% of the computational resources have been provided for SCCMS. Computational resources have also been allotted to Computational Materials Design (CMD) workshops, as well as CCMS hands-on workshops.

1.3 Committees

In order to fairly manage the projects and to smoothly determine the system operation policies, the Materials Design and Characterization Laboratory (MDCL) of the ISSP has organized the Steering Committee of the MDCL and the Steering Committee of the SCC-ISSP, under which the Supercomputer Project Advisory Committee (SPAC) is formed to review proposals. The members of the committees in SY 2025 were as follows:

Steering Committee of the MDCL

OKAMOTO, Yoshihiko	ISSP (Chairperson)
KAWASHIMA, Naoki	ISSP
YAMAURA, Jun-ichi	ISSP
OZAKI, Taisuke	ISSP
NOGUCHI, Hiroshi	ISSP
KITAGAWA, Kentaro	ISSP
SUGINO, Osamu	ISSP
KUBO, Momoji	Tohoku Univ.

HUKUSHIMA, Koji	Univ. of Tokyo
OHGUSHI, Kenya	Tohoku Univ.
NUNOURA, Teppei	Univ. of Tokyo
OTSUKI, Junya	Okayama Univ.
OBA, Fumiyasu	Science Tokyo
KAGI, Hiroyuki	Univ. of Tokyo
MATSUHIRA, Kazuyuki	Kyushu Inst. Tech.

Steering Committee of the SCC-ISSP

KAWASHIMA, Naoki	ISSP (Chairperson)
NOGUCHI, Hiroshi	ISSP
OZAKI, Taisuke	ISSP
SUGINO, Osamu	ISSP
TSUNETSUGU, Hirokazu	ISSP
KATO, Takeo	ISSP
NAKAJIMA, Taro	ISSP
FUKUDA, Masahiro	ISSP
IDO, Kota	ISSP
NAKANO, Hiroyoshi	ISSP
TAKAHASHI, Jun	ISSP
NAKAJIMA, Kengo	Univ. of Tokyo
OTSUKI, Junya	Okayama Univ.
YAMAJI, Youhei	NIMS
KASAMATSU, Shusuke	Yamagata Univ.
HUKUSHIMA, Koji	Univ. of Tokyo
KITAO, Akio	Science Tokyo
HAMADA, Ikutaro	Osaka Univ.
TODO, Synge	Univ. of Tokyo
KUBO, Momoji	Tohoku Univ.
OBA, Fumiyasu	Science Tokyo
WATANABE, Hiroshi	Keio Univ.
YOSHIMI, Kazuyoshi	ISSP
YATA, Hiroyuki	ISSP
FUKUDA, Takaki	ISSP
MOTOYAMA, Yuichi	ISSP

Supercomputer Project Advisory Committee

KAWASHIMA, Naoki	ISSP (Chairperson)
OZAKI, Taisuke	ISSP
NOGUCHI, Hiroshi	ISSP
SUGINO, Osamu	ISSP
TSUNETSUGU, Hirokazu	ISSP
NAKAJIMA, Taro	ISSP

KATO, Takeo	ISSP
FUKUDA, Masahiro	ISSP
IDO, Kota	ISSP
NAKANO, Hiroyoshi	ISSP
TAKAHASHI, Jun	ISSP
NAKAJIMA, Kengo	Univ. of Tokyo
TODO, Synge	Univ. of Tokyo
KUBO, Momoji	Tohoku Univ.
OBA, Fumiyasu	Science Tokyo
WATANABE, Hiroshi	Keio Univ.
HUKUSHIMA, Koji	Univ. of Tokyo
OTSUKI, Junya	Okayama Univ.
KITAO, Akio	Science Tokyo
HAMADA, Ikutaro	Osaka Univ.
KASAMATSU, Shusuke	Yamagata Univ.
YAMAJI, Youhei	NIMS
SHIBATA, Naokazu	Tohoku Univ.
AKAGI, Kazuto	Tohoku Univ.
YANASE, Yoichi	Kyoto Univ.
HATSUGAI, Yasuhiro	Univ. of Tsukuba
OKADA, Susumu	Univ. of Tsukuba
KOBAYASHI, Nobuhiko	Univ. of Tsukuba
HOTTA, Takashi	Tokyo Metropolitan Univ.
MATSUKAWA, Hiroshi	Aoyama Gakuin Univ.
YAMAUCHI, Jun	Keio Univ.
HAGITA, Katsumi	National Defense Academy
KONTANI, Hiroshi	Nagoya Univ.
YUKAWA, Satoshi	Osaka Univ.
SUGA, Seiichiro	Univ. of Hyogo
YASUDA, Chitoshi	Univ. of the Ryukyus
KIM, Kang	Osaka Univ.
MORIKAWA, Yoshitada	Osaka Univ.
KOGA, Akihisa	Science Tokyo
SHIMOJO, Fuyuki	Kumamoto Univ.
TAKETSUGU, Tetsuya	Hokkaido Univ.
TSURUTA, Kenji	Okayama Univ.
HAMAGUCHI, Satoshi	Osaka Univ.
NISHIDATE, Kazume	Iwate Univ.
KAGESHIMA, Hiroyuki	Shimane Univ.
ISHII, Fumiyuki	Kanazawa Univ.
TATETSU, Yasutomi	Meio Univ.
YANAGISAWA, Susumu	Univ. of the Ryukyus
SHUDO, Ken-ichi	Yokohama Natl. Univ.
OHMURA, Satoshi	Hiroshima Inst. Tech.
NOGUCHI, Yoshifumi	Shizuoka Univ.

NAKAMURA, Kazuma	Kyushu Inst. Tech.
GOHDA, Yoshihiro	Science Tokyo
RAEBIGER, Hannes	Yokohama Natl. Univ.
KAWARABAYASHI, Tohru	Toho Univ.
KATO, Yusuke	Univ. of Tokyo
NASU, Joji	Tohoku Univ.
HOTTA, Chisa	Univ. of Tokyo
ISOBE, Masaharu	Nagoya Inst. Tech.
TANAKA, Shu	Keio Univ.
HIGUCHI, Yuji	Kyushu Univ.
KAWAMURA, Mitsuaki	Yokohama National Univ.
TATEYAMA, Yoshitaka	Science Tokyo
KOBAYASHI, Kazuaki	NIMS
TAMURA, Ryo	NIMS
MOTOME, Yukitoshi	Univ. of Tokyo
ONO, Tomoya	Kobe Univ.
TADA, Tomofumi	Kyushu Univ.
HATANO, Naomichi	Univ. of Tokyo
YOSHINO, Hajime	Osaka Univ.
OKUMURA, Hisashi	NINS-ExCELLS
HOSHI, Takeo	NIFS-PQP
TSUNEYUKI, Shinji	Univ. of Tokyo
SUZUKI, Takafumi	Univ. of Hyogo
YOSHIMOTO, Yoshihide	Univ. of Tokyo
TOHYAMA, Takami	Tokyo Univ. of Sci.
ARITA, Ryotaro	Univ. of Tokyo
OGATA, Masao	Univ. of Tokyo
WATANABE, Satoshi	Univ. of Tokyo
YABANA, Kazuhiro	Univ. of Tsukuba
FURUKAWA, Nobuo	Aoyama Gakuin Univ.
KUROKI, Kazuhiko	Osaka Univ.
TANAKA, Yukio	Nagoya Univ.
KUSAKABE, Koichi	Univ. of Hyogo
SAKAI, Toru	Univ. of Hyogo
ISHIBASHI, Shoji	AIST
OTANI, Minoru	Univ. of Tsukuba
TOMITA, Yusuke	Shibaura Inst. Tech.
SHIRAISHI, Kenji	Nagoya Univ.
OGUCHI, Tamio	Osaka Univ.
KAWAKATSU, Toshihiro	Tohoku Univ.
OTSUKI, Tomi	Sophia Univ.
ODA, Tatsuki	Kanazawa Univ.
ARAI, Noriyoshi	Keio Univ.
UNEYAMA, Takashi	Nagoya Univ.
MORITA, Satoshi	Keio Univ.

FUKUSHIMA, Tetsuya	AIST
HAYAMI, Satoru	Hokkaido Univ.
NOMURA, Yusuke	Tohoku Univ.
TSUJI, Yuta	Kyushu Univ.
NOZAWA, Kazuki	Kagoshima Univ.
TEN-NO, Seiichiro	Kobe Univ.
SEINO, Kaori	Kyushu Inst. Tech.
OHNISHI, Masato	ISM
SHINODA, Wataru	Oakayama Univ.

1.4 Staff

The following staff members of the SCC-ISSP usually administrate the ISSP Supercomputer.

KAWASHIMA, Naoki	Professor (Chairperson)
NOGUCHI, Hiroshi	Associate Professor
OZAKI, Taisuke	Professor
SUGINO, Osamu	Professor
IDO, Kota	Research Associate
FUKUDA, Masahiro	Research Associate
NAKANO, Hiroyoshi	Research Associate
TAKAHASHI, Jun	Research Associate
YOSHIMI, Kazuyoshi	Project Researcher
AOYAMA, Tatsumi	Project Researcher
YATA, Hiroyuki	Senior Technical Specialist
FUKUDA, Takaki	Technical Specialist
MOTOYAMA, Yuichi	Technical Specialist
ARAKI, Shigeyuki	Project Academic Specialist

2 STATISTICS (SCHOOL YEAR 2025)

2.1 System and User Statistics

In the following, we present statistics for operation time taken in the period from April 1st 2025 to March 31th 2026 (SY 2025). In Table 2, we show general statistics of the supercomputer system in SY 2025. The total numbers of compute nodes in System B “ohtaka” and System C “kugui” are 1688 and 136, respectively. Consumed disk points amount to about a few percent of the total consumed points in both System B and System C.

In Fig. 2, availabilities, utilization rates, and consumed points in Systems B and C are plotted for each month. Throughout the school year, the availability and the utilization rates were very high: the availability and the utilization rates exceed about 80% and 80% throughout most of the year, respectively. In this SY,

Table 2: Overall statistics of SY 2025

	System B ohtaka	System C kugui
total service time ($\times 10^3$ node·hours)	13134	1168
number of executed jobs	409501	241157
total consumed points ($\times 10^3$ point)	464	29
CPU points ($\times 10^3$ point)	442	28
disk points ($\times 10^3$ point)	22	1
total exec. time ($\times 10^3$ node·hours)	11934	942
availability	88.80%	98.02%
utilization rate	90.80%	80.47%

we stopped the operation of System B for 10 days in August for power saving. This affects the availability of System B in August below 80%, as shown in Fig. 2. Similarly, due to power-saving measures, the compute nodes were shut down for four days each month from November through March. As a result, the utilization rate after November decreased by 15% compared with the period before July. Note that the decline of the availabilities on Sep. is due to a planned power outage at ISSP.

The user statistics are shown in Fig. 3. The horizontal axis shows the rank of the user/group arranged in the descending order of the execution time (hour $\times$ nodes). The execution time of the user/group of the first rank is the longest. The vertical axis shows the sum of the execution time up to the rank. From the saturation points of the graphs, the numbers of “active” users of Systems B and C are around 500 and 100, respectively. The maximum ranks in the graphs correspond to the number of the users/groups that submitted at least one job.

2.2 Queue and Job Statistics

Queue structures of Systems B and C in SY 2025 are shown in Tables 3 and 4, respectively. In System B “ohtaka”, users can choose from two types of compute nodes; jobs submitted to queues with “cpu” and “fat” at the end of their queue names are submitted to CPU and Fat nodes, respectively. In System C, there are two types of compute nodes: CPU and ACC nodes. Jobs submitted to queues with “cpu” and “acc” at the end of their queue names are submitted to CPU and ACC nodes, respectively. If users submit a job to queues with “accs” at the end of their queue names, the job runs in the ACC node shared by other jobs of “accs” queues. See Sec. 1.1 for a description of each type of compute node. The user then has to choose the queue according to the number of nodes to use and the duration of their calculation jobs. Queue names starting with “F” are for jobs taking 24 hours or less, while those starting with “L” can run much longer up to 120 hours. More nodes are allotted to “F” queues in order to maximize the turnaround time of user

Table 3: Queue structures of System B in SY 2025

System B, ohtaka					
queue name	Elapsed time limit (hr)	# of nodes /job	# of nodes /queue	Memory limit (GB)	job points /(node·day)
F1cpu	24	1	600	230/node	1
L1cpu	120	1	300	230/node	1
F4cpu	24	2–4	216	230/node	1
L4cpu	120	2–4	108	230/node	1
F16cpu	24	5–16	288	230/node	1
L16cpu	120	5–16	144	230/node	1
F36cpu	24	17–36	72	230/node	1
L36cpu	120	17–36	36	230/node	1
F72cpu	24	72	576	230/node	1
L72cpu	120	72	288	230/node	1
F144cpu	24	144	432	230/node	1
L144cpu	120	144	144	230/node	1
i8cpu	0.5	1–8	72	230/node	1
F2fat	24	1–2	7	2900/node	4
L2fat	120	1–2	3	2900/node	4
ilfat	0.5	1	1	2900/node	4

jobs. The queue names starting with “i” are used for interactive debugging of user programs and the elapsed time limit is 30 minutes. The number following “F”, “L”, or “i” correspond to the number of nodes that can be used by one user job. Although we do not mention here in detail, to promote utilization of the massively parallel supercomputer, background queues (queue name starting with “B”) for Systems B and C which charge no points for the jobs have also been open.

To prevent overuse of the storage, points are charged also for usage of disk quota in the systems, as shown in Table 5. Disk points are revised often for optimal usage of the resources by examining usage tendencies.

The number of jobs, average waiting time, and total execution time in each queue are shown in Tables 6 and 7. In Systems B and C, a large portion of jobs have been executed in “F” queues. The largest amount of the execution time has been consumed in “F72cpu” and “F1cpu” queues for Systems B and C, respectively. However, substantial number of jobs were run in every queue, suggesting that a wide variety of user needs are met by this queuing scheme. In most of these queues, the queue settings meet the user’s tendencies in that the waiting times are on the order of the elapsed-time limit.




Figure 2: Availabilities, utilization rates and point consumptions of each month during SY 2025.




Figure 3: User statistics. The horizontal axis shows the rank of the user/group arranged in the descending order of the execution time (hour $\times$ nodes). The vertical axis shows the sum of the execution time up to the rank.

Table 4: Queue structures of System C in SY 2025

System C, kugui					
queue name	Elapsed time limit (hr)	# of nodes /job	# of nodes /queue	Memory limit (GB)	job points /(node-day)
i2cpu	0.5	1–2	8	240/node	1
F1cpu	24	1	120	240/node	1
L1cpu	120	1	60	240/node	1
F4cpu	24	2–4	32	240/node	1
L4cpu	120	2–4	16	240/node	1
F16cpu	24	5–16	64	240/node	1
L16cpu	120	5–16	32	240/node	1
F1accs	24	1	3	$60 \times N_{\text{GPU}}$	1
L1accs	120	1	1	$60 \times N_{\text{GPU}}$	1
i1accs	0.5	1	1	$60 \times N_{\text{GPU}}$	1
F2acc	24	1–2	4	240/node	1
L2acc	120	1–2	2	240/node	1

* N_{GPU} in ACC queues denotes the number of occupied GPUs.

Table 5: Disk points of Systems B and C

			point/day
System B ohtaka	/home		$0.001 \times \theta(q - 300)$
	/work		$0.0001 \times \theta(q - 6000)$
System C kugui	/home		$0.001 \times \theta(q - 150)$
	/work		$0.0001 \times \theta(q - 1500)$

* q is denoted in unit of GB.

* $\theta(x)$ is equal to the Heaviside step function $H(x)$ multiplied by x , i.e., $xH(x)$.

Table 6: Number of jobs, average waiting time, total execution time, and average number of used nodes per job in each queue of System B.

System B, ohtaka				
queue	# of Jobs	Waiting Time (hour)	Exec. Time ($\times 10^3$ node·hour)	# of nodes
F1cpu	83056	22.23	137.05	1.00
L1cpu	4011	76.57	122.86	1.00
F4cpu	94315	30.69	1191.36	2.85
L4cpu	6210	96.59	457.67	3.62
F16cpu	24626	20.34	1495.23	9.57
L16cpu	1324	79.53	594.45	11.49
F36cpu	1246	31.49	327.67	31.11
L36cpu	107	84.53	112.62	31.27
F72cpu	8038	54.94	3252.00	72.00
L72cpu	98	84.83	318.88	72.00
F144cpu	3012	15.39	1907.83	144.00
L144cpu	73	67.29	476.88	144.00
i8cpu	164792	0.19	115.06	4.15
F2fat	4391	138.61	29.22	1.13
L2fat	347	143.14	17.63	1.05
ilfat	2628	0.11	0.41	1.00

Table 7: Number of jobs, average waiting time, total execution time, and average number of used nodes per job in each queue of System C.

System C, kugui				
queue	# of Jobs	Waiting Time (hour)	Exec. Time ($\times 10^3$ node·hour)	# of nodes
i2cpu	39624	0.04	2.40	1.15
F1cpu	55486	2.20	188.59	1.00
L1cpu	3225	4.74	70.82	1.00
F4cpu	17549	8.95	95.13	3.59
L4cpu	742	25.01	44.71	3.48
F16cpu	1397	22.02	122.77	11.99
L16cpu	140	7.82	31.05	8.86
ilaccs	22534	0.02	4.23	1.00
F1accs	9270	42.48	62.07	1.00
L1accs	933	10.70	10.18	1.00
F2acc	2378	22.47	24.24	1.08
L2acc	229	87.56	5.89	1.07

2.3 Project for Advancement of Software Usability in Materials Science

From School Year 2015, the supercomputer center (SCC) has started “Project for advancement of software usability in materials science”. In this project, for enhancing the usability of the supercomputer system in ISSP, we perform some software-advancement activity such as implementing a new function to an existing code, releasing a private code on Web, writing manuals. Target programs are publicly offered in December and selected in the review by the Steering Committee of SCC. The projects are carried out by the software development team composed of three members in ISSP. In SY 2025, two projects were selected as listed in Table 8.

Table 8: List of Project for advancement of software usability in materials science for SY 2025.

Project Proposer	Project Name
Ryo TAMURA NIMS	Bayesian optimization library PHYSBO
Kousuke NAKANO NIMS	Enhancement of ab initio Quantum Monte Carlo Package

2.4 ISSP Data Repository

From School Year 2021, the supercomputer center (SCC) has started to operate ISSP Data Repository (ISSP-DR) for accumulating and utilizing research data in materials science. GitLab is used as the data management system, and a portal site is provided as a data registration and search system for the registered data. By using ISSP-DR, it is possible to store and publish research data used in papers and datasets useful in the field of condensed matter science. Users of ISSP Supercomputer are welcome to apply for and use ISSP-DR.